## REMARKS

- STATUS OF THE CLAIMS
  Claims 1-6 and 16-24 are currently pending.
- II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-16, 16 AND 20-24 UNDER 35 USC 102(E) AS BEING ANTICIAPTED BY TREYZ (US PATENT NO. 6,526,335)

The present invention as recited, for example, in claim 16, relates to a data accumulation system comprising (a) a data output device outputting data; (b) a data storage device receiving the data output from the data output device; and (c) a portable terminal.

As recited, for example, in claim 16, the portable terminal (i) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to cause the portable terminal to display, to a user of the portable terminal, the data output from the data output device and received by the data storage device, (ii) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to allow the user to input a command to the portable terminal indicating whether the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device should be stored in the data storage device, in accordance with a decision by the user after viewing the data displayed by the portable terminal, and (iii) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to transmit the inputted command from the portable terminal to the data storage device to cause the data storage device to store the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device in accordance with the transmitted command.

Therefore, the present invention as recited, for example, in claim 16, relates to the use of a portable terminal which displays data output from a data output device and received by a data storage device, and allows the user of the portable terminal to input a command to the portable terminal indicating whether the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device should be stored in the data storage device, in accordance with a decision by the user after viewing the data displayed by the portable terminal.

The present invention as recited, for example, in claim 16, can be understood, for example, from FIG. 2 and the corresponding disclosure on page 9, line 18, through page 10, line 11, of the specification.

Treyz discloses an automobile personal computer that interacts with wireless devices from various vendors to complete purchase transactions with the vendors. For example, in FIG. 1 of Treyz, an automobile includes an automobile personal computer 14. Automobile personal computer 14 interacts with wireless devices of vendors such as merchants 28, hotels 26 and toll

collection facilities 22. See, for example, column 44, line 57, through column 46, line 43, of Treyz, which describes the interaction of automobile personal computer 14 with wireless devices of vendors to complete purchase transactions.

Therefore, generally, a purchase transaction in Treyz requires automobile personal computer 14 and a wireless device of a vendor.

However, there is no portable device in Treyz which displays data transferred between automobile personal computer 14 and the wireless device of the vendor to allow the user of the portable device to make a determination as to whether the transferred data should be stored.

More specifically, Treyz does not disclose or suggest a portable terminal which displays data output from a data output device and received by a data storage device, and allows the user of the portable terminal to input a command to the portable terminal indicating whether the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device should be stored in the data storage device, in accordance with a decision by the user after viewing the data displayed by the portable terminal.

Further, no portion of Treyz relates to allowing a user of a portable device make any type of decision as to whether data output from a data output device and received by a data storage device is to be stored by the data storage device.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that no portion of Treyz discloses or suggests that a portable terminal (i) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to cause the portable terminal to display, to a user of the portable terminal, the data output from the data output device and received by the data storage device, (ii) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to allow the user to input a command to the portable terminal indicating whether the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device should be stored in the data storage device, in accordance with a decision by the user after viewing the data displayed by the portable terminal, and (iii) wirelessly communicates with the data storage device to transmit the inputted command from the portable terminal to the data storage device to cause the data storage device to store the data output by the data output device and received by the data storage device in accordance with the transmitted command, as recited for example, in claim 16.

The above comments are specifically directed to claim 16. However, it is respectfully submitted that the comments would be helpful in understanding various differences of various other claims over Treyz.

Claim 1 is amended to recite the data checking terminal (i) having a display for displaying

data imparted from the data output device to the data storage device so that a user can check whether or not the data are worth storing by viewing the displayed data on the display, and (ii) allowing the user to input a command to the data checking terminal after viewing the displayed data on the display, the command indicating whether the data output by the data output device is to be stored by the data storage device, wherein the data storage device stores or does not store the data output by the data output device in accordance with the command input by the user to the data checking terminal.

Support for the amendments is found, for example, in FIG. 2 and the corresponding disclosure on page 9, line 18, through page 10, line 11, of the specification.

It is respectfully submitted that the above comments with respect to Treyz would be helpful in understanding differences of the amended claim 1 over Treyz.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

III. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 17-19 UNDER 35 USC 103 AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER TREYZ IN VIEW OF MENEZES ("PORTABLE PEN SCANS CAN STORES TEXT WITHOUT A PC")

The above comments for distinguishing over Treyz also apply here, where appropriate. In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If any further fees are required in connection with filing of this response, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: January 5, 2006

By:

Paul I. Kravetz

Registration No. 35,230

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501